Local Justice Reinvestment Advisory Council (LJRAC) meeting

Meeting Notes

Date: March 15, 2022 Time: 11:30 AM

Location: Wells Superior Court Jury Room

The following members were present:

- 1. David Rigney Ossian Police Dept.
- 2. Jinny Broderick Parks Center
- 3. Blake Poindexter Wells Co. Community Corrections
- 4. Mike Vanover Wells Co. Commissioner
- 5. Steve Huggins Wells Co. Council
- 6. Judge Andrew Antrim Wells Superior Court
- 7. Andrew Carnall Wells Co. Prosecuting Attorney's Office
- 8. Kyle Randall Bluffton Police Dept.
- 9. Judge Kenton Kiracofe Wells Circuit Court
- 10. Sheriff Scott Holliday Wells Co. Sheriff's Dept.
- 11. Karen Thompson Wells Co. Jail
- 1. Previous minutes were discussed. No addition, change, or corrections were necessary and the same were approved upon motion and approved. Motion was made to add representatives from the Bluffton Police Dept., Ossian Police Dept., and Wells Co. Jail as members of the (LJRAC). Discussion was held and the same was unanimously approved.
- 2. Next item on the agenda was the discussion of questions regarding the (LJRAC) data collection form. Following discussion of each of the questions below, a consensus was held on the following answers relative to the questions.

Question #9: How competent is your jurisdiction in successfully engaging all key stake holders as outlined in the (LJRAC) statute, to collaborate and implement evidence-based/research-informed decisions?

Answer: Highly confident.

Question #11: Has your (LJRAC) developed the following:

Answer: By agreement the members present do not believe bylaws, a system wide vision and mission statement, operating norms, system map, or a common set of system improvement goals/change targets have been developed.

Question #12: Has your jurisdiction reviewed/evaluated local jail crowding and recommended a range of possible crowding solutions?

Answer: Yes.

Question #13: The jail crowding review/evaluation was completed in March of 2020 to address jail crowding and to open bed space to allow for the quarantining of newly admitted inmates and COVID positive inmates.

Question #14: Regarding the exposure, the below stakeholder groups have had to EBP. Each stakeholders group's knowledge of EBP was rated.

Judges: High

Other Court Staff: NA
County Council: Moderate
Commissioners: Moderate
Law Enforcement: Moderate
Prosecutor's Office: High
Public Defenders: High
Probation Staff: High

Community Corrections Staff: High **Community Mental Health Centers:** High

Question #16: Regarding the Council's understanding of the research supporting the use of a validated criminogenic risk assessment and how the results can inform decision making. Is the Indiana State wide risk assessment system understood by stakeholders?

Behavioral Health: Yes **Commissioners:** No

Council: No

Community Corrections: Yes Prosecutor's Office: Yes Law Enforcement: No

Probation: Yes
Prosecutors: Yes
Mental Health: Yes
Public Defenders: Yes

Question #17: Is the Indiana State wide risk assessment system accepted as a valid instrument by stakeholders?

Answer: The Council believes that all stakeholders accept the Indiana State wide risk assessment system as a valid instrument.

Question #18: Do stakeholder agencies agree that interventions for people who are at low risk to reoffend should be limited and instead, that intensive interventions are best reserved for higher risk offenders?

Answer: All stakeholders agreed generally, yes.

Question #6: Does your jurisdictions' current data system capture the number of law enforcement calls resulting in a criminal citation per year?

Answer: Yes.

Question #27: Does your jurisdictions' current data system capture the number of law enforcement calls resulting in a criminal citation per year analyzed by race, gender, sex? **Answer:** Users agreed it can be analyzed by race and sex only.

Question #28: Can your jurisdictions' current data system capture the number of law enforcement calls resulting in custodial arrests?

Answer: Users agreed yes.

Question #29: Can your jurisdiction's current data system capture the number of law enforcement calls resulting in a custodial arrest per year?

Answer: Analyzed only by race and sex.

Question #6: Does your jurisdiction have a shared/agreed upon definition recidivism?

Answer: No

Question #58: Does any local recidivism data collected?

Answer: No

Question #60: Has your Council agreed on a set of system wide local performance

measures? **Answer:** No

Question #64: Are any of your Council agencies engaged in a research project aimed at evaluating criminal justice system performance (e.g., local university partner, county planner)?

Answer: No

- 3. Other business. Judge Antrim agreed to draft bylaws for the Council. Greg Werich agreed to continue working on a system map for the Council.
- 4. Next meeting June 14, 2022 11:30 AM in the Wells County Superior Court Jury Room.